Attorney's Docket No.: 016820.P168CR	Patent
IN THE UNITED STATES PAT	TENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In Re Application of:	)
Christopher W. Jones	) Examiner: Not Yet Assigned
Application No.: Not Yet Assigned	) Group Art Unit: Not Yet Assigned
Filed: Herewith	)
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR GENERATING AN OPTIMAL TEST PATTERN FOR SEQUENCE DETECTION  Assistant Commissioner for Patents	) ) ) )
Washington, D.C. 20231	
DECLARATION AND PO <u>FOR REISSUE A</u> I	
As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:	
My residence, post office address and citizenship ar	e as stated below, next to my name.
I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed be and claimed in patent number 5,764,657 gratent is sought on the invention entitled:	low) of the subject matter which is described
METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR G	ENERATING AN OPTIMAL TEST
PATTERN FOR SEQUE	
the specification of which	
is attached hereto, was filed on United States Application I or PCT International Appli and was amended on	Number as cation Number  (if applicable)
I have reviewed and understand the contents of the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to a	above-identified specification, including the bove.
I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information v 37, CFR Section 1.56.	which is material to patentability as defined in

I verily believe the original patent to be wholly or partly inoperative or invalid, for the reasons

described below. (Check all that apply.)

 by reason of a defective specification or drawings.	•
by reason of the patentee claiming more or less than he had the right t	o claim in the patent
by reason of other errors.	o claim in the patent

At least one error upon which reissue is based is described as follows:

It has been explained to me by Andrew D. Fortney, Senior Corporate Counsel and Director of Intellectual Property for Cypress Semiconductor Corporation, and I believe, that, through inadvertent oversight, the above-identified patent was allowed to issue claiming less that I had a right to claim. Upon filing of continuation Application No. 08/826,882, originally filed claims 2-20 were inadvertently canceled in error prior to examination. The present reissue application seeks to correct this deficiency by resubmitting originally filed claims 2-5 and 8-20. Some of the new claims are of broader scope than the originally issued claim, and others are of different scope than the originally issued claim.

All errors corrected in this reissue application arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint William E. Alford, Reg. No. 37,764; Farzad E. Amini, Reg. No. P42,261; Aloysius T. C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; William Thomas Babbitt, Reg. No. 39,591; Carol F. Barry, Reg. No. 41,600; Jordan Michael Becker, Reg. No. 39,602; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr., Reg. No. 25,831; Gregory D. Caldwell, Reg. No. 39,926; Ronald C. Card, Reg. No. P44,587; Thomas M. Coester, Reg. No. 39,637; Stephen M. De Klerk, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Michael Anthony DeSanctis, Reg. No. 39,957; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Robert Andrew Diehl, Reg. No. 40,992; Matthew C. Fagan, Reg. No. 37,542; Tarek N. Fahmi, Rcg. No. 41,402; James Y. Go, Reg. No. 40,621; James A. Henry, Reg. No. 41,064; Willmore F. Holbrow III, Rcg. No. P41,845; Sheryl Sue Holloway, Reg. No. 37,850; George W Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; William W. Kidd, Reg. No. 31,772; Erica W. Kuo, Reg. No. 42,775; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; Andre L. Marais, under 37 C.F.R. § 10.9(b); Paul A. Mendonsa, Reg. No. 42,879; Darren J. Milliken, Reg. 42,004; Lisa A. Norris, Reg. No. P44,976; Chun M. Ng, Reg. No. 36,878; Thien T. Nguyen, Reg. No. 43,835; Thinh V. Nguyen, Reg. No. 42,034; Dennis A. Nicholls, Reg. No. 42,036; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Daniel E. Ovanezian, Reg. No. 41,236; Babak Redjaian, Reg. No. 42,096; William F. Ryann, Reg. 44,313; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. 39,018; James C. Scheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Jeffrey Sam Smith, Reg. No. 39,377; Maria McCormack Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Judith A. Szepesi, Reg. No. 39,393; Vincent P. Tassinari, Reg. No. 42,179; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; John F. Travis, Reg. No. 43,203; George G. C. Tseng, Reg. No. 41,355; Joseph A. Twarowski, Reg. No. 42,191; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Glenn E. Von Tersch, Reg. No. 41,364; John Patrick Ward, Reg. No. 40,216; Charles T. J. Weigell, Reg. No. 43,398; Kirk D. Williams, Reg. No. 42,229; James M. Wu, Reg. No. P45,241; Steven D. Yates, Reg. No. 42,242; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my patent attorneys, and Andrew C. Chen, Reg. No. 43,544; Justin M. Dillon, Reg. No. 42,486; Paramita Ghosh, Reg. No. 42,806; and Sang Hui Kim, Reg. No. 40,450; my patent agents, of BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, and James R. Thein, Reg. No. 31,710, my patent attorney.

Chora e ra e a cara e a cara e a cara

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon,

Full Name of Sole/F	irst Inventor <u>Christopher W. Jone</u>	S	
Inventor's Signature	Shirthale. Jon	Date	01.06.00
Residence	Pleasonton, CA	Citizenship	U.S.A
	(City, State)		(Country)
Post Office Address	7775 Paseo Santa Cruz		
	Pleasonton, CA, USA		

## Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclosure information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclosure all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1:97(b)-(d) and 1:98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
  - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made or record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
  - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
  - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

IMPORTA	MESSAGE
FOR Lyndi	
DATE	A.M. TIMEP.M.
on by amine	~ (makam
9HONE 571	272-3585
AREA CODE	NUMBER EXTENSION
D MOBILE AREA CODE	NUMBER: TIME TO CALL
JELEPHONED .	PLEASE CALL
CAME TO SEE YOU	*WILL CALL-AGAIN
WANTS TO SEE YOU	RUSH
REQUENCED YOUR CALL	WILLEAXTOYOU
WASSAGE	Action 1984 Br. Salahamanan mandi an
OR ISSUE	091490,017
In lead wood	
AH C	
	53.
	And the same of th
SIGNED	
TOPS FORM 4009	